Prototypical Emotion Developments in Literary Genres¹

Evgeny Kim, Sebastian Padó and Roman Klinger

Institut für Maschinelle Sprachverarbeitung Universität Stuttgart 70569 Stuttgart, Germany {evgeny.kim,sebastian.pado,roman.klinger@ims.uni-stuttgart.de

Introduction

Storytelling is a central form of human artistic expression. An ingredient of the appeal of stories is their *emotional* content. Readers of literature form explicit mental representations of fictional characters' emotional states (Gernsbacher, Goldsmith, and Robertson, 1992; Vega, 1996). Even more, a gripping "[...] literary work produces a complex emotional experience in the reader. This experience is inseparable from the depictive content of the narrative" (Hogan, 2011). This raises the question of the relationship between the narrative and emotional levels in literature. We explore how computational emotion analysis can contribute to the characterization of story *genres*, which are difficult to define and for which various criteria have been proposed, including stylistic ones (Biber and Conrad, 2009) and narratological ones (Chatman, 1978).

Our hypothesis is that genres can be linked to the *development of predominant emotions over the course of the text*. To test this, we present a computational model of multi-label emotion analysis of literary genres and apply it to a set of English literary works from the Project Gutenberg² for five genres, namely adventure, romance, mystery, science fiction, and humorous fiction. We identify prototypical shapes for each genre and show that this analysis produces results, which can find a place in the computational analysis of literary genres and extend existing stylometric approaches.

Cuddon (2012) defines *adventure* as "a form of fiction [...] in which the hero conventionally undergoes a series of testing and episodic adventures" and *mystery* as a narrative involving the "detection of crime, with the motives, actions, arraignment, judgement and punishment of a criminal". Baldick (2015) defined *Romance* as narratives with "improbable adventures of idealized characters". Today, however, the term covers many forms of fiction, including love stories. We use the term *romance* as a literary genre in this broader sense. Regarding *science fiction* stories, it is generally agreed that they are "[...] about an amazing variety of things, topics, ideas. They include trips to other worlds, quests, the exploration of space..." (Cuddon, 2012). *Humorous fiction* is comical literature "written chiefly to amuse its audience" (Cuddon, 2012).

¹ This research has been conducted within the Center for Reflected Text Analytics (CRETA), which is funded by the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF).

²http://www.gutenberg.org

Methods

We calculate emotion scores for eight basic emotions, namely *joy*, *sadness*, *trust*, *disgust*, *fear*, *anger*, *surprise*, and *anticipation* (Plutchik, 2001). We use the NRC Emotion Lexicon (Mohammad and Turney, 2013). Since the data in Project Gutenberg is diachronic, this method of emotion recognition might not be appropriate for older texts and, in general, may suffer from low recall. However, it can be considered a high-precision approach suitable for our purpose.

To obtain an emotion analysis for a story, we start by computing emotion scores for spans of text (Klinger, Suliya, and Reiter, 2016). Formally, we compute the score es(e, S) for an emotion e and a span of tokens $S = \langle t_n, ..., t_m \rangle$ as

$$es(e,S) = \frac{1}{|D_e|} \sum_{t_i \in S} \mathbf{1}_{t_i \in D_e}$$

where D_e is a dictionary containing words associated with emotion e and $1_{t\in D}$ is 1 if $t \in D$ and 0 otherwise. We do this for each of our eight emotions, obtaining an eight dimensional "emotion vector" for each span³. These scores are not probabilities, but could be transformed if needed.

To observe development over time, we could use sliding windows; however, continuous time series are notoriously difficult to interpret. Therefore, we adopt a simpler scheme inspired by the five-act theory of dramatic acts (Freytag, 1863), according to which dramas are divided into five acts: exposition, rising action, climax, falling action, and denouement. We consequently divide each text into five successive, equal-sized spans⁴ that we assume to correspond roughly to dramatic acts in Freytag's theory, with exposition at position 1 and denouement at position 5, and compute an eight-element emotion vector for each *Act*.

Experimental Evaluation

We now demonstrate how this emotion aggregation into five acts can contribute to the understanding of different literary genres.

Data. We collect 2113 books from Project Gutenberg that belong to five genres found in the Brown corpus (Francis and Kucera, 1979), namely adventure (585 books), romance (383 books), mystery (380 books), science fiction (562 books), and humorous fiction (203 books).⁵

The selection is based on the Library of Congress Subject Headings in the metadata. We select all books that contain the word "Fiction" in combination with one of the following labels: "Adventure stories", "Love stories", "Romantic fiction", "Detective and mystery stories", "Science fiction" or "Humor". Furthermore, we reject books with the following labels: "Short stories", "Complete works", "Volume", "Chapter", "Collection", "Part". This constraint is aimed at excluding files which contain partial or multiple works.

³We analyzed the stability of our results across different settings. We found that different dictionaries affect the actual values but not the relation between different time steps.

⁴Since different texts have different length, the size of acts varies between texts.

⁵The corpus is available from the authors upon request.

Qualitative analysis. Each plot in Figure 1 depicts the act-by-act development for one emotion with their emotion score es(e, S). Since we interpret shapes rather than values, we omit the legend. The average over all books is shown in a dark-colored line. The area around that line corresponds to confidence intervals at a 95% confidence level.

For *sadness, anger, fear,* and *disgust,* all five genres show a close correspondence, namely a consistent increase of the emotion from Act 1 through Act 5 – corresponding to gripping narratives. Mystery and science fiction lack the drop in *anger* and tend to end with higher levels of this emotion. Joy is inverse to these emotions, showing a decreasing tendency from Act 1 to Act 5 for all genres with exception of humorous fiction that shows a plateau between Acts 1 and 4.

In adventures, all emotions increase in the first half of the books, but drop sharply between Act 4 and Act 5. This is consistent with Whetter (2008), according to whom adventures are marked by a late drop in emotional tension when the hero's misfortunes come to an end. The only exception is *trust* that shows increase towards the end for all genres, which is especially noticeable in adventures. A potential reason is that prototypical adventure novels are 'upbeat' in that they cultivate virtues such as courage and loyalty (Baldick, 2015, p. 5) and depict heroes that do not lose trust even amid unexpected dangers.

The results for *anticipation* and *surprise* show less coherent tendencies which we find difficult to interpret. These two emotions appear less constitutive to the narrative structure of genres, at least those that we currently consider: *anticipation* and *surprise* can occur under (almost) any circumstances. Mystery fiction has a slightly different pattern, where *anticipation* exhibits steady increase from Acts 1 to 4 and its peak coincides with the peak for *surprise* at Act 4.

Quantitative analysis. We analyze the genre-specific time course of emotions quantitatively by computing associations between genres and the Act in which an emotion "peaks" in a story. We define a random variable v'_e for an emotion peak as v'_e =1 iff the highest value of emotion *e* is in Act *i*. The association between each genre and emotion peaks v'_e follows point-wise mutual information (Church and Hanks, 1990),

$$PMI(g, v_e^j) = \log \frac{p(v_e^j, g)}{p(g)p(v_e^j)},$$

where probabilities are computed as relative frequencies over the dataset.

Figure 2 gives insight into the genre-specific emotion patterns. For instance, *disgust* is characteristic of Act 4 for all genres. The only exception is science fiction that does not list *disgust* or *surprise* among the top 10 features. *Trust* is important at the beginning and in the end of adventures and science fiction, but is missing in mystery. Similarly, romance fiction is not characterized by *anticipation* among top-ranked features, corresponding to its "anticipation" curve that decreases monotone from beginning to end. Interestingly, humor is the only genre that does not contain *joy* among the top 10 features.



Figure 1: Genre-specific emotion curves



Figure 2: Top 10 PMI features for each genre

Related work

Sentiment and emotion in fiction have been previously addressed computationally by Mohammad (2012), Nalisnick and Baird (2013), Heuser, Moretti, and Steiner (2016), among others. Samothrakis and Fasli (2015) is the only work we are aware of which discusses emotions in context of genres.

The study most related to ours is Reagan et al. (2016). They propose a pipeline that tracks emotions in text. Their main claim is that stories typically follow one out of six "emotional arcs" regarding happiness.

Conclusion, discussion and future work

We investigated the relationship between emotional development in literature and genre and observe differences among emotions. The genre of adventure stands out, especially concerning the end of the story arc. Our results can provide a novel starting point for characterizing similarities and differences within and between literary genres.

Our observations require further investigation regarding the underlying factors. For instance, it might be argued that the pattern for mystery stories is dominated by the subgenre of crime fiction. Future work will combine our distant reading approach with close and scalable reading. Furthermore, to improve emotion recognition, we plan to use distributional methods for expanding the existing lexical resources and adapting them to texts from different historical periods (cf. Buechel, Hellrich, and Hahn, 2016).

References

Baldick, C. (2015). The Oxford dictionary of literary terms. OUP Oxford.

Biber, D. and Conrad S. (2009). *Register, Genre, and Style*. Cambridge University Press.

Buechel, S., Hellrich J., and Hahn U. (2016). Feelings from the Past – Adapting Affective Lexicons for Historical Emotion Analysis. In: *LT4DH 2016*, p. 54.

Chatman, S. (1978). *Story and Discourse: Narrative Structure and Fiction and Film.* Cornell University Press.

Church, K.W. and Hanks, P. (1990). Word association norms, mutual information, and lexicography. *Computational Linguistics*, 16(1), pp.22-29.

Cuddon, J. A. (2012). *Dictionary of literary terms and literary theory*. John Wiley & Sons.

Francis, W. N. and Kucera H. (1979). *Brown corpus manual*. Brown University, Rhodes island.

Freytag, G. (1863). Die Technik des Dramas. Leipzig, Germany: Hirzel.

Gernsbacher, M. A., Goldsmith H. H., and Robertson R. R. (1992). Do readers mentally represent characters' emotional states? *Cognition & Emotion*, 6(2), pp.

89-111.

Heuser, R., Moretti F., and Steiner E. (2016). *The Emotions of London*. Stanford: Stanford Literary Lab, Stanford University. Available at: https://litlab.stanford.edu/LiteraryLabPamphlet13.pdf [accessed 5 Mar. 2017].

Hogan, P. C. (2011). *What literature teaches us about emotion*. Cambridge University Press.

Klinger, R., Suliya S. S., and Reiter N. (2016). Automatic Emotion Detection for Quantitative Literary Studies: A case study based on Franz Kafka's "Das Schloss" und "Amerika. In: *Digital Humanities 2016: Conference Abstracts*. Jagiellonian University & Pedagogical University, Krakow, Poland, pp. 826-828.

Mohammad, S. M. (2012). From once upon a time to happily ever after: Tracking emotions in mail and books. *Decision Support Systems*, 53(4), pp. 730-741.

Mohammad, S. M. and Turney P. D. (2013). Crowdsourcing a word-emotion association lexicon. *Computational Intelligence*, 29(3), pp. 436-465.

Nalisnick, E. T. and Baird H. S. (2013). Extracting sentiment networks from Shakespeare's plays. In: *Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) 2013 12th International Conference on.* IEEE, pp. 758-762.

Plutchik, R. (2001). The Nature of Emotions. Human emotions have deep evolutionary roots, a fact that may explain their complexity and provide tools for clinical practice. *American Scientist*, 89(4), pp. 344-350.

Reagan, A. J., Mitchell L., Kiley D., Danforth C. M., and Dodds P. S. (2016). The emotional arcs of stories are dominated by six basic shapes. *EPJ Data Science*, 5(1), p. 31.

Samothrakis, S. and Fasli M. (2015). Emotional sentence annotation helps predict fiction genre. *PloS One*, 10(11).

Vega, M. (1996). The representation of changing emotions in reading comprehension. *Cognition & Emotion*, 10(3), pp. 303-322.

Whetter, K. S. (2008). *Understanding genre and medieval romance*. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.