

Universität Stuttgart Institut für Maschinelle Sprachverarbeitung

Experiencer-Specific Emotion and Appraisal Prediction

Maximilian Wegge, Enrica Troiano, Laura Oberländer, Roman Klinger

(1) Motivation

- Emotion classification methods assign emotions to text.
- Typically, either the perspective of the writer or the perspective of the reader is considered.
- Emotion role labeling considers different perspectives but focuses on cause extraction ("who feels what and why")
- No entity-specific appraisal analysis exists.

(2) Contribution



Research Question: Does entity-specific modeling outperform text-level approaches in the emotion classification task?

(3) Training Data

- Troiano, Wegge, Oberländer & Klinger (LREC, 2022): x-enVENT corpus
- Short event reports, annotated for entity and writer-specific emotions and 22 appraisal dimensions.





Paper: <u>10.48550/arXiv.2210.12078</u>

(4) Modeling

		Annotation			
Model	Input instance	Emotion	Appraisal		
Ехр	⟨exp⟩WRITER⟨/exp⟩ I felt bad for him	{guilt}	$(5, 1, 1, \ldots)$		
	$\begin{array}{l} \text{WRITER I felt bad} \dots \text{for} \\ \langle \text{exp} \rangle \text{him} \langle / \text{exp} \rangle \end{array}$	{sadness}	$(1, 3, 1, \ldots)$		
TEXT	WRITER I felt bad for him	{guilt, sadness}	$(3, 2, 1, \ldots)$		

- Train two models:
- TEXT-only
 - disjunction of all emotion labels
 - average of appraisal values
- EXPeriencer-specific
 - each entity in a text constitutes one separate training instance
- Evaluate both models specifically on entity-level

(5) Emotion Results

	Text				Ехр		
Emotion Class	Р	R	F_1	Р	R	F_1	ΔF_1
anger	40	82	54	60	80	68	+14
disgust	50	93	65	60	80	69	+4
fear	44	86	58	53	71	61	+3
joy	55	70	62	61	77	68	+6
no emotion	29	80	42	51	80	62	+20
other	11	10	10	14	10	12	+2
sadness	47	90	62	62	93	74	+12
shame	34	89	49	48	85	61	+12
Macro avg.	39	75	51	51	72	60	+9
Micro avg.	40	79	53	55	78	64	+11

(6) Appraisal Results

	TEXT	Exp			TEXT	Exp	
Appraisal Dimension	ρ	ρ	$\Delta \rho$	Appraisal Dimension	ρ	ρ	$\Delta \rho$
Suddenness	0.32	0.54	+0.22	Consider	0.55	0.62	+0.07
Familiarity	0.17	0.37	+0.20	Outcome probability	0.14	0.38	+0.24
Pleasantness	0.34	0.60	+0.26	Expect. discrepancy	0.43	0.54	+0.11
Understand	0.24	0.30	+0.06	Goal conduciveness	0.47	0.65	+0.18
Goal relevance	0.15	0.33	+0.18	Urgency	0.20	0.25	+0.05
Self responsibility	0.31	0.68	+0.37	Self control	0.36	0.64	+0.28
Other responsibility	0.33	0.68	+0.35	Other control	0.41	0.69	+0.28
Situational respons.	0.59	0.68	+0.09	Situational control	0.63	0.67	+0.04
Effort	0.33	0.54	+0.21	Adjustment check	0.39	0.56	+0.17
Exert	0.97	0.25	-0.72	Internal check	0.47	0.58	+0.11
Attend	0.27	0.41	+0.14	External check	0.66	0.54	-0.12

(7) Examples

- ID Text
- 1 I felt ... working in the street seeing faeces of dogs. <u>The owners</u> should take care of them but are being so lazy and neglected, that is terrible.
- I felt ... when I remember being part of a group of children at school who verbally bullied another child
- 3 I felt ... when I lost my sister's necklace that I had borrowed.
- I felt ... when my ex husband was hateful towards our children.
 I felt ... when my son was born.

	(a) Example Texts							
		Gold		TEX	¢Τ	Exp		
ID	Experiencer Text	Emotion	Appraisal	Emotion	Appraisal	Emotion	Appraisa	
1	Writer The owners	a d no		a d no sa a d no sa		a d sa no		
2	Writer a group of children another child	sh j sh sa		a no sa sh a no sa sh a no sa sh		sh a j no sh a f sa		
3	Writer my sister	sa sh sa no		sa sh sa sh		sa sh sa no		
4	Writer my ex husband our children	a sa a sh sa		a f j no sa sh a f j no sa sh a f j no sa sh		a sa a j sh a f sa		
5	Writer my son	j no		j o no j o no		j j no		

(b) Annotations

(8) Conclusion

- Text-level emotion annotation is a simplification for entity-specific modeling.
- Emotion information: emotions are inadvertently assigned to all entities.

